



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_3736
Title of the Manuscript:	A New Class of Odd-point Ternary Non-Stationary Schemes

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	What is the advantage of proposed schemes over existing 4-point binary and ternary non-stationary interpolating schemes?	Comparison has been given in Table 1 and figure5. These show that ternary schemes are better than binary.
Minor REVISION comments	Pg 3, Theorem 2.1. Should be started as <i>Two ternary schemes.....</i> <i>The article [Ternary three point non-stationary subdivision scheme, S.S. Siddiqi and M. Younis, Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology, vol. 4, no. 13, pp. 1875–1882, 2012] can also be cited for the above theorem2.1 reference.</i>	On page3, Theorem 2.1, has been corrected.
Optional/General comments	An interesting topic and a somehow novel application of well established ideas. The results may have some applications in geometric design.	We are grateful to the anonymous referee for improving our article